

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/731,631	12/08/2003	Daniel R. Kurz	MICRU:66414	5448		
24201 7	590 03/09/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	PATTON LEE & UT	HO, UYEN T				
HOWARD HU 6060 CENTER	IGHES CENTER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TENTH FLOO	R	3731	3731			
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90045	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		10/731,63	1	KURZ, DANIEL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			n-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. 1ys, a reply within the statury period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 08 December 20	003.					
,	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 20-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	□ accepted or b)[n to the drawing(s) b e correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
•		the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action of form				
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified	cuments have been cuments have been the priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachmer			" □	(DTO 410)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/03			Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/731,631 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 12/08/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: the correct application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The endoluminal therapeutic device is not an endoluminal delivery device. Examiner is not clear whether applicant intends to claim an apparatus including both delivery device and a device being delivered or just a delivery device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/731,631

Art Unit: 3731

6.

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Page 3

States.

5. Claims 20, 21, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Yurek et al. (5,662,703). Yurek et al. disclose a delivery device

comprising: an elongated flexible tubular catheter (18) having a narrowed, tubular distal

tip (26) being formed of a yieldable material from elastomer (col. 5, line 3-10), the distal

tip having an opening with diameter smaller than a portion of a stent, wherein the distal

tip has a frustoconical shape (fig. 5). Note: The introductory statement of intended use

and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not

to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Yurek et al.'s

device which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

In regarding to claim 22, since the therapeutic device is not positively claimed

(see 112 rejection above), examiner consider the delivery device of Yurek et al. adapted

to capture within its distal tip any therapeutic device in the art having a stem portion with

an enlarged portion that is larger than the opening of the distal tip.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington,

418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly

owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 3731

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 20-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,679,903. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they contain the same subject matters.
- 8. Claims 20-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,267. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they contain the same subject matters.
- 9. Claims 20-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,102,932. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they contain the same subject matters.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

March 6, 2005